arXiv:1510.05662v3 [cond-mat.supr-con] 2 Feb 2016

Competition between intrinsic and extrinsic effects in the quenching of the superconducting state in Fe(Se,Te) thin films

Antonio Leo,[1,2,*] Pasquale Marra,[2,1,†] Gaia Grimaldi,[2,1] Roberta Citro,[1,2] Shrikant Kawale,[3] Emilio Bellingeri,[3] Carlo Ferdeghini,[3] Sandro Pace,[1,2] and Angela Nigro[1,2]

[1] *Dipartimento di Fisica "E. R. Caianiello", Università degli Studi di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano (Salerno), Italy*
[2] *CNR-SPIN Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano (Salerno), Italy*
[3] *CNR-SPIN Genova, Corso Perrone 24, I-16152 Genova, Italy*

We report the first experimental observation of the quenching of the superconducting state in current-voltage characteristics of an iron-based superconductor, namely, in Fe(Se,Te) thin films. Based on available theoretical models, our analysis suggests the presence of an intrinsic flux-flow electronic instability along with non-negligible extrinsic thermal effects. The coexistence and competition of these two mechanisms classify the observed instability as halfway between those of low-temperature and of high-temperature superconductors, where thermal effects are respectively largely negligible or predominant.

I. INTRODUCTION

Flux-flow instabilities are abrupt transitions from the flux-flow regime of type-II superconductors to the normal state,[1] observed in the current-voltage (I-V) characteristic at bias currents larger than the critical current I_c and below the critical temperature T_c. These instabilities have been extensively studied in low-temperature superconductors (LTSCs)[2] and in cuprate high-temperature superconductors (HTSCs).[3] Specifically, they can be used as a probe of quasiparticle energy-relaxation processes,[4] which are related to the pairing mechanism and superconducting gap,[3,5] as well as to investigate the interplay between vortex dynamics and pinning.[6] Moreover, as far as applications are concerned, their disruptive impact on the stability of the superconducting state is of primary importance to the realization and improvement of any superconducting device, e.g., magnets, current-leads, or fault-current limiters.

Whereas in LTSCs instabilities are generally driven by an intrinsic electronic mechanism,[6–8] the superconducting quenching in HTSCs[3,9] is more controversial in nature, due to the presence of non-negligible thermal effects,[10–12] and observable only under very constrained experimental conditions, e.g., within narrow ranges of applied magnetic field and temperature. Besides, the recently discovered iron-based superconductors[13,14] are very promising for technological applications, being major competitors of MgB_2 and cuprates,[15] due to their extraordinarily high upper critical fields,[16] high current densities,[17] and high isotropies.[18] From a fundamental point of view, these materials are unconventional superconductors which exhibit a distinctive multiband structure of the superconducting gap, and whose pairing mechanism is still under debate.[14] To date, there has been no experimental evidence of flux-flow instabilities in iron chalcogenides, to the best of our knowledge. Very recently, a related phenomenon has been observed in a BaKFeAs mesoscopic structure, where the transition to the normal state due to non-magnetic impurities is ascribed to phase-slips.[19]



FIG. 1: Irreversibility and upper critical field lines of the considered Fe(Se,Te) microbridges. Inset: SEM image of the 20 μm microbridge showing the typical geometry of the samples.

In this work, we report the first experimental observation of the quenching of the superconducting state associated to the flux-flow instability in the I-V characteristics of Fe(Se,Te) thin films. Although thermal effects have been minimized by a pulsed bias technique, their residual contributions have been taken into account by evaluating the temperature increase of the film due to Joule self-heating. Nevertheless, experimental data in external magnetic field reveal the intrinsic nature of the instability, which therefore coexists with a non-negligible contribution of extrinsic thermal effects.

II. THE FLUX-FLOW INSTABILITY

In type-II superconductors, the quenching of the superconducting state occurs with a voltage jump in the I-V characteristic at the instability current I^*, as a con-

sequence either of an intrinsic mechanism related to the vortex dynamics[1] or of extrinsic phenomena due to self-heating effects.[20] The fingerprint of the intrinsic origin of the quenching is the presence of a distinctive shape of the I-V characteristic in voltage-driven measurements. In this case, a negative differential resistance appears at the instability current $I = I^*$ and voltage $V = V^*$, which subsequently merges the normal state branch $V = R_N I$, when the current reaches again the value $I \approx I^*$. In current-driven measurements this feature is hidden by a sudden jump of the voltage at $I = I^*$. Within the Larkin-Ovchinnikov (LO) model,[1] flux-flow instabilities in I-V curves at $V = V^*$ correspond to sudden drops in the viscosity of the Abrikosov lattice due to the shrinking of the vortex cores, which occurs at the critical velocity $v^* = V^*/(BL)$, with B being the applied magnetic field and L the distance between voltage contacts. The LO model describes the instability both in LTSCs[7,21] and HTSCs,[22] whenever the basic assumptions of the model are satisfied, i.e., temperature near T_c and small magnetic field, in which the quasiparticle distribution can be assumed spatially uniform. In its original formulation, the LO model predicts an almost field-independent critical vortex velocity v^*. The experimental evidence of a field-dependent critical velocity can be described by an empirical extension of the original model.[9] At low applied magnetic fields, it has been found that $v^* \propto B^{-1/2}$, as a direct consequence of the spatial homogeneity of the non-equilibrium quasiparticle distribution in the surrounding of Abrikosov vortices.[9] Moreover, the LO model predicts a monotonic decrease of temperature-dependent vortex velocity v^* near T_c. However, substantial deviations can be observed due to the competition between quasiparticle scattering and recombination processes.[7,21]

At temperatures well below T_c instead, the electronic instability can be related to the electron overheating.[23,24] Due to dissipations in the flux-flow regime, electrons reach temperatures above the phonon temperature, which leads to an expansion of the vortex core and a consequent reduction of the viscous drag. The signature of the instability in the I-V curves is very similar in the LO and in the electron overheating regime, despite the different mechanisms present in the two distinct temperature ranges. Recently, a crossover from electron heating at low temperatures to the LO regime near T_c has been observed in nanostructured a-NbGe films,[25] at the reduced temperature $T/T_c = 0.68$. However, the magnetic-field dependence of the critical vortex velocity is $v^* \propto B^{-1/2}$ in the two temperature regimes.[23] Furthermore, regardless of the microscopic mechanism, a more complex dependence can be present at very low fields, due to the pinning properties of the material and sample geometry.[26]

The quenching of the superconducting state can also occur due to the Joule self-heating caused by thermal dissipations of the vortex flow. The occurrence of this thermal instability in HTSCs at zero applied magnetic field has been theoretically described as a pure consequence of a temperature runaway which takes place up to the quenching temperature T^*, where a very steep voltage jump sets in.[12,27] It is claimed that, under certain experimental conditions, heating effects become predominant,[12] and at sufficiently high current densities, cuprate HTSCs become thermally unstable.[27] On the other hand, the LO model has been extended by Bezuglyj and Shklovskij[11] (BS) in order to include the effect of self-heating near T_c in a finite magnetic field. In this case instead, a crossover scenario emerges, with a threshold value of the applied magnetic field B_T between the LO and pure self-heating regimes. For $B \ll B_T$ the instability is triggered by an intrinsic change of the distribution function of quasiparticles trapped in the vortex core, whereas for $B \gg B_T$ it is driven by pure thermal effects.[11] This crossover corresponds to a distinctive magnetic-field dependence of the Joule power $P^* = I^* V^*$



FIG. 2: (a) I-V characteristics of Fe(Se,Te) microbridge at different temperatures in a magnetic field $B = 5$ T. Inset: I-V's in the full voltage range. Solid lines are guides for the eye. (b) Experimental I-V characteristic at 14.58 K. Solid lines are isothermal curves from Eq. (1), with T =14.58 K, 14.82 K, and 14.93 K. Inset: Temperature dependence of the critical current I_c (left scale) and of the fitting parameter V_0 (right scale) as a function of $1 - T/T_c$. Solid lines are best fits of Eq. (2).

at the instability, which is linear at low fields $B \ll B_T$ and saturates for $B \gg B_T$.

In general, the relative importance of thermal effects can be characterized by the value of the Stekly parameter[10] α, defined as the ratio of the heat generation in the normal state $\rho_N J_c^2$ and the heat transfer $2(T_c - T)h/D$, being ρ_N, J_c, h, and D respectively the normal state resistivity, critical current density, heat transfer coefficient, and film thickness.[10] Self-heating is important if $\alpha > 1$, and negligible if $\alpha \ll 1$. This parameter gives a measure of thermal contributions to the flux-flow instability, and depends on the intrinsic properties of the sample, but also on experimental conditions and on the film substrate. In light of the above theoretical discussion, we will try to characterize the nature of the instability in the iron-based superconductor Fe(Se,Te) through a detailed analysis of the experimental I-V characteristics.

III. EXPERIMENT

The Fe(Se,Te) thin films have been grown on CaF_2 substrates by pulsed laser deposition from a $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ target.[28] The resulting film thickness is $D = 120\,\text{nm}$. A four-probe pattern has been realized in form of microbridges with length $L = 65\,\mu\text{m}$ and width $W = 20\,\mu\text{m}$, by standard UV photolithography and Ar ion-milling etching. The inset of Fig. 1 shows the typical geometry of our samples. From the superconducting phase diagram reported in Fig. 1, it results that the typical T_c of the samples is about 20.5 K, with a transition width $\Delta T_c \leq 1\,\text{K}$. The T_c has been evaluated as the temperature value corresponding to 50% of the normal state resistance at zero applied magnetic field. The transition width is defined as the difference between the temperature values corresponding to 90% and 10% of the normal state resistance. These two criteria define respectively the upper critical field H_{c2} and the irreversibility line of the phase diagram, shown in Fig. 1. Furthermore, the critical current density is $J_c \approx 5 \times 10^5\,\text{A/cm}^2$ at 15 K. More information about sample fabrication and their structural and pinning properties can be found in Refs. [29]. The I-V characteristics have been acquired in a cryogen-free cryostat equipped with an integrated cryogen-free variable-temperature insert operating in the range 1.6–300 K and a superconducting magnet able to generate fields up to 9 T. The sample is thermally coupled to a copper block in flowing He gas, with a temperature stability within 0.01 K. In order to minimize self-heating effects, I-V curves have been acquired by a pulsed current technique, with rectangular pulses of duration 2.5 ms. The inter-pulses current-off time has been set to 1 s to ensure the complete re-thermalization to the He flow temperature T. The magnetic field is applied perpendicularly to the film surface.



FIG. 3: (a) Sample temperature T' as a function of its estimate T'_e for all experimental I-V curves. Top inset: ΔT as a function of the bias current I. Bottom inset: Temperature dependence of the heat-transfer coefficient $h(T)$. (b) Non-isothermal $\tilde{V}(I,T) = V(I,T'_e)$ (solid lines) fitted to the experimental I-V's (symbols).

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 shows the measured I-V curves in the temperature range 14.0–16.5 K with an applied magnetic field of 5 T. No hysteresis has been observed by sweeping the bias current up to the maximum value and then down to 0 A. A voltage jump from the flux-flow regime to the normal state occurs at temperatures below 15.5 K. Note, however, that the observed jump is not abrupt, but smoothed out by the presence of extrinsic thermal effects, as we will show below. We also remark that several other samples with larger microbridge width ($W \gg 20\,\mu\text{m}$) have been measured, but no jump has been observed, probably due to a larger self-heating. In the low-current regime, where self-heating processes are negligible, the measured temperature of the bath T is a good estimation of the actual temperature of the sample. Within this assumption, it is possible to employ the functional form suggested in Ref. [12] to fit experimental data at low bias above the



FIG. 4: (a) Normalized Joule power $P^*(B)/P^*(B = 1\,\mathrm{T})$ at temperatures T =14.75 K and 16 K. The solid line is the predicted Bezuglyj-Shklovskij[11] (BS) dependence with $B_T = 25\,\mathrm{T}$. (b) Critical vortex velocity v^* as a function of applied magnetic field B for both temperatures. Solid lines are best fits of $v^* \propto B^{-1/2}$ at low fields.

critical current I_c. In this regime, the measured I-V characteristics are indeed well described by the isothermal curves

$$V(I,T) = V_0(T)\left[\frac{I}{I_c(T)} - 1\right]^n, \quad \text{with} \tag{1}$$

$$I_c(T) = I_{c0}\left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^p, \quad V_0(T) = V_{00}\left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^q, \tag{2}$$

where $T_c = 18.5\,\mathrm{K}$ at $B = 5\,\mathrm{T}$. As an example, Fig. 2(b) shows the fitting curve from Eq. (1) to the experimental I-V at 14.58 K. The values of V_0, I_c, and n have been fitted to the experimental data at low bias. At low bias, Eq. (1) reproduces the experimental data with constant exponents n, p, and q in the full temperature range 14–16.5 K. This can be revealed by the temperature dependence of V_0 and of the critical current I_c, shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b). In particular, we found $n = 2$, $p = 2.5$, $q = 3.1$, $I_{c0} = 0.19\,\mathrm{A}$, and $V_{00} = 0.64\,\mathrm{V}$.

As the bias current increases, the experimental I-V curve departs from the isothermal curve at a threshold value I_d, as shown in Fig. 2(b). This discrepancy can be explained as the effect of the self-heating[12,27] for currents $I > I_d$. In this current regime, the actual temperature of the sample T' does not correspond anymore to the measured temperature T. One can estimate the temperature T' by fitting experimental data points (V, I) with the isothermal curves $V(I, T)$ in Eq. (1). The temperature difference $\Delta T = T' - T$ increases smoothly as the current increases up to the quenching temperature T^*, where ΔT shows a jump, as shown in the top inset of Fig. 3. This behavior is consistent with the analysis performed in Ref. [12] for HTSC thin films (YBCO). However, in our case, the temperature increase ΔT is smaller than 0.4 K below the instability. This difference can be ascribed to the different thermal properties of YBCO and Fe(Se,Te), but also to the difference between our pulsed biasing technique and the ramp method used in Ref. [12]. For the specific pulsed technique employed, the temperature increase ΔT can be easily related to power dissipations in the flux-flow regime. In fact, during a single rectangular pulse the current can be assumed as uniformly distributed over the sample cross section. Moreover, due to the long current-off time used between two consecutive current pulses, the initial temperature of the sample can be assumed to coincide with the bath temperature T for all pulses. Under these assumptions, the steady-state heat balance yields $T' = T + (VI/C)$, i.e., the temperature increase ΔT is proportional to the Joule power dissipation $P = VI$. The parameter C is proportional to the heat-transfer coefficient h between the sample and the cooling environment,[10] i.e., $C = 2L(W + D)h$. The Joule power $P = VI$ can be directly calculated from the isothermal I-V characteristics, and compared with the temperature increase ΔT. We find indeed that the temperature T' is a linear function of the power P. This can be recognized in Fig. 3(a), where T' is compared with its estimate T'_e obtained as the linear fit of T' versus P, for all experimental I-V curves. Indeed, all data points line up along a line of unitary slope. Hence, one can obtain the theoretical non-isothermal characteristic as $\widetilde{V}(I,T) = V(I,T'_e)$. This theoretical I-V characteristic takes explicitly into account the effect of the self-heating and can be eventually compared with the experimental I-V curves, as shown in Fig. 3(b). Solid lines are best fits of the theoretical non-isothermal $\widetilde{V}(I,T)$ dependence to the experimental curves, below the instability current I^*. The parameter $C(T)$, inferred by the best fit of the temperature T' as a function of the power $P(T)$, yields the temperature dependence of the heat-transfer coefficient $h(T)$ reported in the bottom inset of Fig. 3(a). A quite constant value $h \approx 30\,\mathrm{W/cm^2{\cdot}K}$ is observed up to 16 K, which gives a Stekly parameter $\alpha \approx 0.4$. This value corresponds to an intermediate regime where self-heating is neither negligible ($\alpha \ll 1$) nor overcomes intrinsic effects ($\alpha > 1$).[10] We remind that the value of the Stekly parameter strongly depends on material properties, film thickness, and on the heat flow at the film/bath and film/substrate interfaces, which is described by the

heat transfer coefficient h. In particular the heat flow at the film/substrate interface is mainly determined by its thermal resistance, which is affected by the quality of the interface, i.e., disorder and roughness, and by the differences in electronic and phononic properties between the film and substrate. Different cooling environments and substrates, as well as the current bias technique and the quality of the sample, may change the value of the Stekly parameter and hence the relative importance of the thermal effects on the voltage instability in superconducting microbridges. In the case of competing intrinsic and thermal effects, the analysis of experimental data at different applied magnetic fields can give valuable information. Figure 4(a) shows the power P^* at the instability as a function of applied magnetic field at temperatures T =14.7 K and 16 K. According to the BS model,[11] the power P^* increases linearly as the field increases, saturating to a constant value for $B \gg B_T$. Our experimental data show indeed a linear increase at low fields, consistent with a value of $B_T > 25$ T. The investigated magnetic field range is thus well below the threshold field B_T, at which pure thermal mechanisms start to dominate.

The intrinsic nature of the instability is moreover consistent with the magnetic-field dependence of the vortex velocity $v^* = V^*/(BL)$. As reported before, an intrinsic instability induces a characteristic field-dependence of the critical vortex velocity $v^* \propto B^{-1/2}$, in a wide range of temperatures $T < T_c$. Figure 4(b) shows the critical vortex velocity as a function of magnetic field at temperatures T =14.7 K and 16 K. At low fields, the critical vortex velocity decreases with increasing field with the characteristic dependence $v^* \propto B^{-1/2}$, which is consistent with the intrinsic nature of the instability. At larger fields, the velocity remains approximately constant in the range investigated. We notice that the measured vortex velocities are at least one order of magnitude lower than those observed in LTSCs,[7] and comparable with those of HTSCs.[3]

Moreover, other important physical parameters can be inferred from the analysis of flux-flow instability, in particular the quasiparticle scattering time τ and the diffusion length of quasiparticles l_ε.[30] The diffusion length l_ε is evaluated as[31] $l_\varepsilon = (l_{\varepsilon 0}/2)(1+\sqrt{1+4a_0/l_{\varepsilon 0}})$, where $l_{\varepsilon 0} = D_{qp}[14\zeta(3)(1-T/T_c)]^{1/4}/(\sqrt{\pi}v^*)$, $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann function, D_{qp} is the quasiparticle diffusion coefficient, and a_0 the intervortex distance. The diffusion coefficient D_{qp} is obtained from the slope $[\mathrm{d}H_{c2}/\mathrm{d}T]|_{T=T_c} = -10$ T/K of the upper critical field near T_c as[32] $D_{qp} = [4k_B/(\pi e)]/[\mathrm{d}H_{c2}/\mathrm{d}T]|_{T=T_c}$. The intervortex distance is instead given by $a_0 = \left[2\Phi_0/(\sqrt{3}B)\right]^{1/2}$, where Φ_0 is the magnetic flux quantum. Figure 5 shows a comparison of l_ε with the intervortex distance a_0. We obtain that $l_\varepsilon > a_0$ within the full temperature range investigated and at magnetic fields above 0.5 T. This value assures the homogeneity of the quasiparticle distribution over the whole volume of the superconductor,[30] which is a necessary condition for the applicability of the LO theory.[1] The quasiparticle scattering time can be obtained from its relation with the diffusion length[31] $l_\varepsilon = \sqrt{D_{qp}\tau}$, which gives $\tau \approx 10^{-9}$ s. This value is comparable with that obtained for YBCO.[23]



FIG. 5: (a) The diffusion length of quasiparticles l_ε as a function of applied magnetic field B at temperatures T =14.75 K and 16 K. The dashed line is the intervortex distance a_0 as a function of B. (b) The diffusion length l_ε as a function of temperature at $B = 5$ T. The dashed line is the intervortex distance at $B = 5$ T.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We reported the observation of the quenching from the flux-flow regime to the normal state in I-V characteristics of Fe(Se,Te) microbridges. We find evidence of an intrinsic electronic instability which coexists with a non-negligible contribution of extrinsic thermal effects. In particular, our theoretical analysis of the Joule self-heating suggests a temperature increase $\Delta T < 0.4$ K, whose current-dependence is consistent with a steady-state heat balance regime. We thus infer a value of the

Stekly parameter $\alpha \approx 0.4$, which classifies Fe(Se,Te) as halfway between LTSCs and HTSCs, where thermal effects are respectively largely negligible or predominant. Moreover, the value obtained for the quasiparticle scattering time τ is comparable with that of YBCO. On top of that, the observed magnetic-field dependence of the critical vortex velocity and of the corresponding Joule power are consistent with an intrinsic nature of the instability.

Acknowledgments

A. L. acknowledges financial support from PON Ricerca e Competitività 2007–2013 under Grant Agreement PON NAFASSY, PONa3_00007. P. M. and R. C. acknowledge the project FIRB-2012-HybridNanoDev (Grant No. RBFR1236VV).

* Electronic address: antoleo@sa.infn.it
† Electronic address: pasquale.marra@spin.cnr.it

[1] A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, *Nonlinear conductivity of superconductors in the mixed state*, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **41**, 969 (1975);
A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, *Nonequilibrium superconductivity* (Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1986).

[2] W. Klein, R. Huebener, S. Gauss, and J. Parisi, *Nonlinearity in the flux-flow behavior of thin-film superconductors*, J. Low Temp. Phys. **61**, 413 (1985).

[3] B. Kalisky, P. Aronov, G. Koren, A. Shaulov, Y. Yeshurun, and R. P. Huebener, *Flux-flow resistivity anisotropy in the instability regime of the a-b plane of epitaxial superconducting $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ thin films*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 067003 (2006).

[4] Z. L. Xiao, P. Voss-de Haan, G. Jakob, Th. Kluge, P. Haibach, H. Adrian, E. Y. Andrei, *Flux-flow instability and its anisotropy in $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ superconducting films*, Phys. Rev. B. **59**, 1481 (1999).

[5] S. B. Kaplan, C. C. Chi, D. N. Langenberg, J. J. Chang, S. Jafarey, and D. J. Scalapino, *Quasiparticle and phonon lifetimes in superconductors*, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 4854 (1976).

[6] B. J. Ruck, J. C. Abele, H. J. Trodahl, S. A. Brown, and P. Lynam, *Vortex dynamics and instabilities in layered and homogeneous Ta/Ge superconductors*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3378 (1997).

[7] C. Peroz and C. Villard, *Flux flow properties of niobium thin films in clean and dirty superconducting limits*, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 014515 (2005).

[8] M. Liang and M. N. Kunchur, *Vortex instability in molybdenum-germanium superconducting films*, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 144517 (2010).

[9] S. G. Doettinger, R. P. Huebener, R. Gerdemann, A. Kühle, S. Anders, T. G. Träuble, and J. C. Villégier, *Electronic instability at high flux-flow velocities in high-T_c superconducting films*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1691 (1994).

[10] A. V. Gurevich and R. G. Mints, *Self-heating in normal metals and superconductors*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 941 (1987).

[11] A. Bezuglyj and V. Shklovskij, *Effect of self-heating on flux flow instability in a superconductor near T_c*, Phys. C. **202**, 234 (1992).

[12] J. Maza, G. Ferro, J. A. Veira, and F. Vidal, *Transition to the normal state induced by high current densities in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ thin films: A thermal runaway account*, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 094512 (2008).

[13] J. Paglione and R. L. Greene, *High-temperature superconductivity in iron-based materials*, Nat. Phys. **6**, 645 (2010).

[14] A. Chubukov and P. J. Hirschfeld, *Iron-based superconductors, seven years later*, Phys. Today **68**, 46 (2015).

[15] H. Hosono, K. Tanabe, E. Takayama-Muromachi, H. Kageyama, S. Yamanaka, H. Kumakura, M. Nohara, H. Hiramatsu, and S. Fujitsu, *Exploration of new superconductors and functional materials, and fabrication of superconducting tapes and wires of iron pnictides*, Sci. Tech. Adv. Mater. **16**, 033503 (2015).

[16] K. Tanabe and H. Hosono, *Frontiers of research on iron-based superconductors toward their application*, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **51**, 010005 (2012).

[17] A. Matsumoto, Z. Gao, K. Togano, and H. Kumakura, *Critical current density enhancement of uniaxially pressed Ag-sheathed $(Sr,K)Fe_2As_2$ superconducting wires*, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **27**, 025011 (2014).

[18] J. L. Her, Y. Kohama, Y. H. Matsuda, K. Kindo, W.-H. Yang, D. A. Chareev, E. S. Mitrofanova, O. S. Volkova, A. N. Vasiliev, and J.-Y. Lin, *Anisotropy in the upper critical field of $FeSe$ and $FeSe_{0.33}Te_{0.67}$ single crystals*, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **28**, 045013 (2015).

[19] J. Li, M. Ji, T. Schwarz, X. Ke, G. Van Tendeloo, J. Yuan, P. J. Pereira, Y. Huang, G. Zhang, H.-L. Feng, Y.-H. Yuan, T. Hatano, R. Kleiner, D. Koelle, L. F. Chibotaru, K. Yamaura, H.-B. Wang, P.-H. Wu, E. Takayama-Muromachi, J. Vanacken, and V. V. Moshchalkov, *Local destruction of superconductivity by non-magnetic impurities in mesoscopic iron-based superconductors*, Nat. Commun. **6**, 7614 (2015).

[20] J. Viña, M. T. González, M. Ruibal, S. R. Currás, J. A. Veira, J. Maza, and F. Vidal, *Self-heating effects on the transition to a highly dissipative state at high current density in superconducting $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ thin films*, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 224506 (2003);
Z. L. Xiao, E. Y. Andrei, P. Shuk, and M. Greenblatt, *Joule heating induced by vortex motion in a type-II superconductor*, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 094511 (2001).

[21] A. Leo, G. Grimaldi, R. Citro, A. Nigro, S. Pace, and R. P. Huebener, *Quasiparticle scattering time in niobium superconducting films*, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 014536 (2011).

[22] O. Stoll, R. Huebener, S. Kaiser, and M. Naito, *Electric field dependence of the flux-flow resistance and the electronic vortex structure in the cuprate superconductor $Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_y$*, J. Low Temp. Phys. **118**, 59 (2000).

[23] M. N. Kunchur, *Unstable flux flow due to heated electrons in superconducting films*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 137005 (2002).

[24] J. M. Knight and M. N. Kunchur, *Energy relaxation at a hot-electron vortex instability*, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 064512 (2006).

[25] F. Otto, A. Bilušić, D. Babić, D. Y. Vodolazov, C. Sürgers, and C. Strunk, *Nonlocal versus local vortex dynamics in*

the transversal flux transformer effect, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 174521 (2010).

[26] G. Grimaldi, A. Leo, D. Zola, A. Nigro, S. Pace, F. Laviano, and E. Mezzetti, *Evidence for low-field crossover in the vortex critical velocity of type-II superconducting thin films*, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 024512 (2010);
A. V. Silhanek, A. Leo, G. Grimaldi, G. R. Berdiyorov, M. V. Milošević, A. Nigro, S. Pace, N. Verellen, W. Gillijns, V. Metlushko, B. Ilić, X. Zhu, and V. V. Moshchalkov, *Influence of artificial pinning on vortex lattice instability in superconducting films*, New J. Phys. **14**, 053006 (2012);
G. Grimaldi, A. Leo, A. Nigro, A. V. Silhanek, N. Verellen, V. V. Moshchalkov, M. V. Milošević, A. Casaburi, R. Cristiano, and S. Pace, *Controlling flux flow dissipation by changing flux pinning in superconducting films*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **100**, 202601 (2012);
G. Grimaldi, A. Leo, P. Sabatino, G. Carapella, A. Nigro, S. Pace, V. V. Moshchalkov, and A. V. Silhanek, *Speed limit to the Abrikosov lattice in mesoscopic superconductors*, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 024513 (2015).

[27] J. Maza, G. Ferro, M. R. Osorio, J. A. Veira, and F. Vidal, *Analytical approach to the thermal instability of superconducting films under high current densities*, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 214530 (2011).

[28] E. Bellingeri, I. Pallecchi, R. Buzio, A. Gerbi, D. Marrè, M. Cimberle, M. Tropeano, M. Putti, A. Palenzona, S. Kaciulis, and C. Ferdeghini, *Critical temperature enhancement by biaxial compressive strain in $FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5}$ thin films*, J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. **24**, 35 (2011).

[29] V. Braccini, S. Kawale, E. Reich, E. Bellingeri, L. Pellegrino, A. Sala, M. Putti, K. Higashikawa, T. Kiss, B. Holzapfel, and C. Ferdeghini, *Highly effective and isotropic pinning in epitaxial $Fe(Se,Te)$ thin films grown on CaF_2 substrates*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **103**, 172601 (2013);
E. Bellingeri, S. Kawale, F. Caglieris, V. Braccini, G. Lamura, L. Pellegrino, A. Sala, M. Putti, C. Ferdeghini, A. Jost, U. Zeitler, C. Tarantini, and J. Jaroszynski, *High field vortex phase diagram of $Fe(Se,Te)$ thin films*, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **27**, 044007 (2014);
A. Leo, G. Grimaldi, A. Guarino, F. Avitabile, A. Nigro, A. Galluzzi, D. Mancusi, M. Polichetti, S. Pace, K. Buchkov, E. Nazarova, S. Kawale, E. Bellingeri, and C. Ferdeghini, *Vortex pinning properties in Fe-chalcogenides*, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **28**, 125001 (2015).

[30] Z. L. Xiao, P. Voss-de Haan, G. Jakob, and H. Adrian *Voltage jumps in current-voltage characteristics of $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ superconducting films: Evidence for flux-flow instability under the influence of self-heating*, Phys. Rev. B. **57**, R736 (1998).

[31] S. G. Doettinger, R. P. Huebener, and A. Kühle, *Electronic instability during vortex motion in cuprate superconductors regime of low and high magnetic fields*, Phys. C. **251**, 285 (1995).

[32] M. Tinkham *Introduction to Superconductivity* (Dover Publications, New York, 2004).